

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,329	TEPE, THOMAS RICHARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vickey Ronesi	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: after non-final

 (1) Vickey Ronesi.

(3) _____.

 (2) Kenneth Crimaldi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 May 2006
Time: 4:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A proposed amendment was made by the examiner to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Crimaldi suggested providing data which is commensurate in scope with the present claims. Proper side-by-side examples with acrylic acid and with all C2-C4 alkyl (meth)acrylates other than ethyl acrylate would be were suggested..